Fourier acoustics*[†]

Chirag Gokani September 28, 2022

Mathematical preliminary

Recall the 2D Fourier transform inverse pair:

$$\hat{f}(k_x, k_y) = \mathcal{F}[f(x, y)] = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) e^{-i(k_x x + k_y y)} dx dy$$
(1)

$$f(x,y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[f(k_x,k_y)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k_x,k_y) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)} dk_x dk_y$$
(2)

Applying the inverse 2D Fourier transform to the n^{th} derivative of f(x, y) with respect to x gives

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x,y) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[f(k_x,k_y)] = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(ik_x)^n \hat{f}(k_x,k_y)]. \quad (3)$$

^{*}based on Dr. Mark F. Hamilton's Acoustics II lecture on the topic, but in the $e^{i(kx-\omega t)}$ time convention

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mathrm{to}$ sort out my own understanding of the topic

Taking the Fourier transform of both left- and right-hand-sides of equation (3) results in

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial^n f(x,y)}{\partial x^n}\right] = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[(ik_x)^n \hat{f}(k_x,k_y)\right].$$

Since \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are inverses, the above equation results two identities (the second identity for derivatives with respect to y follows similarly):

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial^n f(x,y)}{\partial x^n}\right] = (ik_x)^n \hat{f}(k_x,k_y) \qquad (\text{ID 1})$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial^n f(x,y)}{\partial y^n}\right] = (ik_y)^n \hat{f}(k_x,k_y) \qquad (\text{ID }2)$$

Pressure source

The Helmholtz equation is the wave equation for time-harmonic solutions. In Cartesian coordinates,

$$0 = \nabla^2 p + k^2 p = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2\right)$$

Taking the 2D spatial Fourier transform of the Helmholtz equation and applying (ID 1) and (ID 2) gives

$$0 = \mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2\right]$$
$$= \left(-k_x^2 - k_y^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k^2\right)\hat{p}(k_x, k_y, z)$$
(4)

But the orthogonality of the coordinate system relates the wavenumbers by $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2$. Thus equation (4) becomes an ordinary differential equation,

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + k_z^2\right)\hat{p}(k_x, k_y, z) = 0, \qquad (5)$$

whose solution for +z-propagation is

$$\hat{p}(k_x, k_y, z) = \hat{p}_0(k_x, k_y)e^{ik_z z}$$
 (6)

where

$$\hat{p}_0(k_x, k_y) = \hat{p}_0(k_x, k_y, z = 0)$$

= $\mathcal{F}[p(x, y, z = 0)]$

is the source condition. The solution to the Helmholtz equation is found by applying equation (2) to equation (6):

$$p(x, y, z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\hat{p}_0(k_x, k_y)e^{ik_z z}\}$$
(7)

Equation (7) is equivalent to the second Rayleigh integral.

Velocity source

For velocity sources, start by recalling the linear momentum equation for time-harmonic solutions,

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{1}{ik\rho_0 c_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} p,$$

and apply the Fourier transform pair, equations (1) and (2),

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{1}{ik\rho_0 c_0} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\mathcal{F}p(x, y, z)] \}$$
$$= \frac{1}{ik\rho_0 c_0} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \mathcal{F} [\boldsymbol{\nabla}p(x, y, z)] \}$$
(8)

Note from (ID 1) and (ID 2) for n = 1 that

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right] = ik_x \hat{p}$$
$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}\right] = ik_y \hat{p}$$

Also note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{F}(p) = ik_z \hat{p}$ from equation (5). Then equation (8) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{1}{\rho_0 c_0} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{k}}{k} \hat{p} \right\}$$
(9)

The z-component of equation (9) becomes

$$u_z(x, y, z = 0) = u_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{\rho_0 c_0} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{k_z}{k} \hat{p}(k_x, k_y) \right\}$$
(10)

Equation (10) for p_0 reads

$$\hat{p}_0(k_x, k_y) = \rho_0 c_0 \frac{k}{k_z} \hat{u}_0(k_x, k_y).$$
(11)

Substituting equation (11) into equation (7) gives

$$p(x, y, z) = \rho_0 c_0 \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{k}{k_z} \hat{u}_0(k_x, k_y) e^{ik_z z} \right]$$
(12)

$$= \rho_0 c_0 \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F}[u_0(x,y)] \frac{k}{k_z} e^{ik_z z} \right\}$$
(13)

Equation (13) is equivalent to first Rayleigh integral.